UNITE	<u>ed States Patent a</u>	nd Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and Tr Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATE: P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-145 www.aspto.gov	ademark Office NTS AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/739,639	12/20/2000	Akio Goto	NEC-2130US	4751
30743 7590 05/29/2003 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			EXAMINER	
11491 SUNSE SUITE 340	ſ HILLS ROAD	WANG, GEORGE Y		
RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
i		09/739,639	GOTO, AKIO
` Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		George Y. Wang	2871
	NLING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MON - If the period for m - If NO period for m - Failure to reply w - Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI e may be available under the provisions of 37 C ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati eply specified above is less than thirty (30) days eply is specified above, the maximum statutory ithin the set or extended period for reply will, by d by the Office later than three months after the m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>12 November 2002</u> .	
2a) This ac	tion is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since t closed Disposition of Cl	in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal ma Inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
-) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
•	ne above claim(s) is/are wi		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Pape	ers		
	cification is objected to by the Exa		
-	ving(s) filed on <u>20 December 200</u>		
	ant may not request that any objection		
	oosed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
••	oved, corrected drawings are require		
•	or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.	
•	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
<i>•</i> —	redgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
/—) Some * c) None of:		
	Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.
	Certified copies of the priority docu		
	Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	e translation of the foreign langua edgment is made of a claim for de		
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 coosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	148) 5) 🔲 Notice (w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

\$

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 29 October 2002 fails to comply with

37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance,

as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most

knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in

the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information

referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuyuki et al. (J.P. Pub. No. 10054917, from hereinafter "Yasuyuki") in view of Miura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,170,996, from hereinafter "Miura").

4. <u>As to claim 1-2 and 4</u>, Yasuyuki discloses an optical waveguide module (fig. 1) in which transmitted signal light emitted from a laser light emitting element passes through

۱

a first optical waveguide (fig. 1, ref. 14) and a second optical waveguide (fig. 1, ref. 17) to strike a transmitting/receiving medium such as an optical fiber (fig. 1, ref. 18), and where the signal light from transmitting/receiving medium passes through second optical waveguide and is received by light-receiving element (fig. 1, ref. PD).

However, Yasuyuki fails to specifically teach a first light-blocking resin covering over the monitoring light-emitting element and first optical waveguide and a second light-blocking resin covering part over the monitoring light receiving element and second optical waveguide.

Mitsuda discloses an optical waveguide module with an absorptive, light-blocking resin covering (fig. 1, ref. 24) over the coupling part between the monitoring light-receiving device (fig. 1, ref. 17) and the optical waveguide (fig. 1, ref. 26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included an absorptive, first light-blocking resin covering over the monitoring light-emitting element and first optical waveguide and a second absorptive, light-blocking resin covering part over the monitoring light receiving element and second optical waveguide since one would be motivated to improve optical light isolation and reliability (col. 1, ref. 11-20). Resins are well known in the art for fixative and light-blocking properties. Optical loss occurs when light strays, but with light-blocking resin to cover the monitoring light-emitting and receiving elements coupling parts, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that optical isolation and noise reduction would significantly be improved. Furthermore, resin coverings provide a lost-

cost optical module that is easily manufactured and is easily connectable to external transmission lines (col. 1, lines 54-59).

5. <u>As to claim 3</u>, Yasuyuki and Miura disclose an optical waveguide module as recited above, however, references do not specifically disclose filling the coupling parts of the optical module with transparent resin.

Miura discloses an optical waveguide module with a transparent resin covering (fig. 1, ref. 18).

It would have also been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fill the coupled areas with transparent resin since one would be motivated by it high optical transmissivity. A transparent resin efficiently permits the transmission and reception of optical signals to and from the optical fibers (col. 5, lines 7-16).

6. <u>Regarding claims 5-6</u>, Yasuyuki and Miura disclose an optical waveguide module as recited above with a reflective, light-blocking plate (fig. 1, ref. 13), disposed above the first optical waveguide, which blocks transmitted signal light missing the lightemitting coupling part.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 703-305-7242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

(๗ qw May 13, 2003